Table 1. Recommendations that are ongoing and incomplete

No	Date	Item	Recommendations	То	Response	Progress Check On
1	01/03/12	Interim Report of the Highways Maintenance Prioritisation Task Group	 Recommendations to Cabinet i) To adopt the proposals for a three system approach to Highways Maintenance Prioritisation, as set out at Annex B to this report. ii) To endorse the milestones and objectives of Project Horizon, as detailed in Annex B. iii) To support officers to develop an Invest To Save project to support the automation and optimisation of the asset prioritisation process. iv) To provide the full budgetary requirement in order to enable the development of more efficient, joined up ICT systems for Asset Management and Maintenance Prioritisation. v) That a communications plan for the new system of highways maintenance prioritisation be developed and shared with the Select Committee. 	Cabinet	Cabinet Response provided at item 5a within this agenda. Task Group is due to meet to commence the second phase of its work, concerning the maintenance prioritisation of highways structures.	

2	19/12/11	Call-In of Cabinet	Resolved:		
		Response to Update Report of the On Street Parking Task	a) The Committee agreed to refer the decision back to Cabinet for reconsideration.	Cabinet	A letter from the Cabinet Member for Transport and Environment was circulated to Members
		Group	b) The Committee acknowledged that there is a short timeframe for within which the Cabinet is able to respond, and therefore asks for a detailed and considered response to be provided to the Committee in early 2012. The comments of the Committee will be appended to the report to Cabinet for reference.	Cabinet	of the Committee on 15/02/12 The response of the Cabinet was provided in the January 2012 agenda.
3	12/01/12	Lead Local Flood Authority	Resolved: That the Cabinet Member for Transport & the Environment be asked to write a letter to central Government expressing the concerns of the Committee regarding reductions in funding for flood management.	Cabinet Member	Letter is included in this agenda as Item 5b.

-	40/04/10				
4	12/01/12	Update on	Recommendations (to Cabinet):		
		Community			
		Recycling	a) That the Extended Opening Hours Pilot should cease.	Cabinet	Response from
		Centre			the Cabinet was
		Performance	b) That the Additional staff to increase recycling pilot		considered at the
			should continue for a further 6 months and seek to		meeting of the 1 st
			achieve maximum recycling at minimum cost. This will be		March 2012.
			reviewed by the Select Committee at its meeting of the 19 th July 2012.		
			19 July 2012.		
			c) That the Mattress Recycling Trial should continue until		
			31 January 2012 and base decision on cost of recycling		
			versus cost of disposal.		
			d) That officers continue to explore options for wood		
			recovery and recycling based on cost, and desire to		
			recycle over options for recovery.		
			e) That officers to continue to explore options for carpet		
			recovery and recycling based on cost, and desire to		
			recycle over options for recovery		
			f) That the trial involving bulky residual waste to solid		
			recovered fuel continue and an assessment of future		
			costs be provided by SITA Surrey.		
			g) That recycling and recovery rates at Community		
			Recycling Centres continue to increase in accordance		
			with the Action Plan and performance be monitored.		
			Resolved:		
					To be circulated
			i) Officers to provide Members with a breakdown of visit		electronically.
			figures for each individual Community Recycling Centre.		
					To be added to
			ii) Officers to provide Members with an update regarding		the Committee
			the recycling of electronic items.		Work Programme
					or Bulletin.

			Opdated – April 2012			
5	15/09/11	Update on Freight Initiatives	 a) That representations be made to Government to require HGV operators to use either HGV specific satellite navigation systems or bespoke HGV guidance systems, and that they are kept up to date. b) That guidelines be provided to Members outlining what they can do to support communities with regard to freight problems, and in particular, access issues for HGVs. c) To ensure that the costs to the Council associated with repairs following bridge strikes are recovered from the driver or HGV operator responsible for the incident, or the appropriate insurance company. d) To request that bridge strikes be considered by the Drive Smart Campaign. e) To survey the height of bridges in Surrey to ensure their markings are accurate. 	Cabinet	The recommendations were agreed by Cabinet at the meeting of the 27 th September 2011 and a written response from the Portfolio holder was provided within the agenda for the November 2011 meeting of the Select Committee.	
	10/11/11		- Officers to circulate a guidance sheet to Members before the end of 2011 which will outline how local groups can limit the encroachment of HGVs on unsuitable roads.		HGV Guidance circulated to Members on 21/02/12	

6 15/09/11 Countryside Estate: Surrey Wildlife Trusts a) That the Select Committee approve the AMP and a response be sent to SWT once the Members Asset Panel Middlife Trusts Surrey Wildlife Trust An update report was considered Wildlife Trust 6 15/09/11 Countryside Estate: Surrey Wildlife Trusts a) That the Select Committee approve the AMP and a response be sent to SWT once the Members Asset Panel Management Plan Surrey Wildlife Trust An update report was considered by the Select Committee in January 2012 and a further update concerning finances and governance is included within c) That the County Council and SWT work together to identify the assets that are a financial liability, or are no longer required to fulfil a public service role, or do not provide a return on capital, and ensure the potential to let out buildings is maximised, and that a three to five year income generation plan is created. d) Governance arrangements need to be put in place for the Sinking Fund to ensure that money is appropriately applied to the fund and that any issues are highlighted at an early stage. e) The AMP needs to be regularly reported to SCC with annual reports to the Partnership Committee, including a regular update on the proposed use of income from property to support management of the Estate supported by relevant performance indicators. He Estate supported by relevant performance indicators.							
f) That the size and constitution of the Partnership Committee be reviewed as noted in 37/11.	6	15/09/11	Estate: Surrey Wildlife Trust's Asset Management	 a) That the Select Committee approve the AMP and a response be sent to SWT once the Members Asset Panel has made its comments. This should also release the additional income as set out in the Cabinet Report of 30 March 2010. b) That SWT be required to set up the Sinking Fund by December 2011 c) That the County Council and SWT work together to identify the assets that are a financial liability, or are no longer required to fulfil a public service role, or do not provide a return on capital, and ensure the potential to let out buildings is maximised, and that a three to five year income generation plan is created. d) Governance arrangements need to be put in place for the Sinking Fund to ensure that money is appropriately applied to the fund and that any issues are highlighted at an early stage. e) The AMP needs to be regularly reported to SCC with annual reports to the Partnership Committee, including a regular update on the proposed use of income from property to support management of the Estate supported by relevant performance indicators. f) That the size and constitution of the Partnership 	Wildlife	was considered by the Select Committee in January 2012 and a further update concerning finances and governance is included within this agenda at	

Table 2. Recommendations completed since the last meeting

There were no recommendations completed since the last meeting.